

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/038,169	Applicant(s) BONEH ET AL.	
	Examiner BaoTRAN N. To	Art Unit 2435	

All Participants:

(1) BaoTRAN N. To.

(2) Henry N. Blanco White (Reg. No. 47,350).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

Claims 32-34, 44-45, 52-56.

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner has contacted the Applicant's Representative to discuss Claims 32-34, 44-45, 52-56. Applicant's Representative has agreed and given the authority to Examiner to make an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)